City of Minneapolis CPED - Public Land Sale and Acquisition Form

Section I. Property Information

PROJECT COORDINATORS COMPLETE SECTION I

TROCEST COOKBINATORO COM ELTE GESTION.		
ENTIRE FORM MUST BE COMPLETED PRIOR TO MARKETING PROPERTY		
Ruease antachmar of subjecturroperty and all adjacent parcels		
Submitted by: <u>Miles Mercer</u>		
Phone #: <u>x5043</u>		
Form Initiated Date: 10/18/2011		
Complete by Date:		
1. Address: 1100 2 nd Street South		
2. Property Identification Number (PIN): 2602924110108		
3. Lot Size: 9,730 sf		
4. Current Use: <u>vacant lot</u>		
5. Current Zoning: I-1 light industrial; MR, DP, DH, IL overlay districts		
6. Proposed future use (include attachments as necessary): Main manufacturing facility for Izzy's Ice		
Cream, as well as adminstrative offices and demostration kitchen for corporate and small groups.		
7. List addresses of adjacent parcels owned by CPED/City: <u>n/a</u>		
8. Project Coordinator comments: <u>CPED expects to receive in October/November 2011 an offer to purchase</u>		
from Izzy's Ice Cream for this site. City also pursuing environmental clean-up grants for the site in the fall 2011 round.		
PROJECT COORDINATOR: EMAIL FORM TO JOSEPH.BERNARD@CI.MINNEAPOLIS.MN.US		
Section II. Zoning Review		
9. Lot is Buildable for any structure ⊠ Non-Buildable for any structure □		
Explain: There is no minimum lot area for the proposed industrial use.		
10. Will any land use applications be required to achieve the proposed future use noted in item 6?		
Yes ☑ No ☐ If yes, what applications? <u>At minimum, site plan review will be required.</u>		
11. Comments:		
Completed by: Aly Pennucci Date: 10/19/2011		
ZONING STAFF: EMAIL FORM TO JOSEPH. BERNARD@CI.MINNEAPOLIS.MN. US		
Section III. Community Planning Review		
12. List adopted small area plan(s) in effect for parcel: Historic Mills District Master Plan		
13. Future land use/designation(s) identified in The Minneapolis Plan and other adopted plans: Mixed Use		
14. Is future land use proposed in item 6 consistent with future land use plans?		
Yes ⊠ No □ If no, why not?		
15. Does the City own adjacent parcels that could be combined with this parcel to create a larger development?		
Yes ☐ No ☒ If yes, explain possible development scenarios		
16. Is parcel identified in adopted plans as a catalyst/essential site for future development?		
Yes ☐ No ⊠ If Yes, what type of development?		
Comments: Compliance with site plan review standards will be a particular item of interest for planning staff. Among other design requirements, the site should be landscaped and the building should fulfill		
requirements for window transparency, have no blank walls, and include a prominent principal entrance.		
Completed by: Beth Elliott Date: 10/19/2011		
COMMUNITY PLANNER: EMAIL FORM TO JOSEPH BERNARD@CI.MINNEAPOLIS.MN.US		

City of Minneapolis CPED - Public Land Sale and Acquisition Form

Planning Director Review	by: Barbara Sporlein Date: 10/19/2011	
PLANNING DIRECTOR: EMAIL FORM TO JOSEPH, BERNARD@CI.MINNEAPOLIS.MN.US		
Marki Familia Harrisa Otaff O		
Multi-Family Housing Staff Comments	by: <u>Wes Butler</u> Date: <u>10/19/2011</u>	
Comments: <u>Multi-Family concurs with the sale.</u>		
Single-Family Housing Staff Comments	by: Elfric Porte Date: 10/19/2011	
Comments: Single Family Housing supports the pro	posal as submitted.	
Real Estate Development Services Staff Comments	by: Darrell Washington Date: 10/20/2011	
Comments: Proposed use appears appropriate for s	site and is consistent with real estate guidelines.	
Business Development Staff Comments	by: Kristin Guild Date: 10/25/2011	
	s land sale review. We support the sale of this vacant	
property for the construction of an ice cream manufac	sturing building and supportive office space.	
Economic Development Director Review	by: Cathy Polasky Date: 10/28/2011	
Economic Development Director Review	by. Cathy Polasky Date. 10/20/2011	
PLEASE CHECK ONE BOX:		
PROCEED to market the property as proposed		
Project Coordinator: Contact Community Planner (in Section III) in advance of CD Committee hearing to		
acquire City Planning Commission finding of consistency with The Minneapolis Plan for Sustainable Growth		
HOLD this property for discussion at next available Director's Meeting Note: If there is policy conflict or strategic options outlined in this form, this land sale must be discussed at a		
Director's Meeting so that a decision on whether or not to proceed can be made		
Director's Notes (reason for HOLD status):		
·		
Housing Director Review	by: <u>Tom Streitz</u> Date: <u>10/28/2011</u>	

EMAIL COMPLETED FORM TO JOSEPH BERNARD@CI.MINNEAPOLIS.MN.US

Note: The completed and signed CPED public land form and the Planning Commission action as to the consistency with the City's Comprehensive Plan *must* be attached to the staff report that is submitted to the Community Development Committee.